arXiv:1701.07425v2 [math.CO] 1 Mar 2017

Nonrepetitive Colourings of Graphs Excluding a Fixed Immersion or Topological Minor

Vida Dujmović [*] Paul Wollan [†] David R. Wood [‡]

Abstract. We prove that graphs excluding a fixed immersion have bounded nonrepetitive chromatic number. More generally, we prove that if H is a fixed planar graph that has a planar embedding with all the vertices with degree at least 4 on a single face, then graphs excluding H as a topological minor have bounded nonrepetitive chromatic number. This is the largest class of graphs known to have bounded nonrepetitive chromatic number.

1 Introduction

A vertex colouring of a graph is *nonrepetitive* if there is no path for which the first half of the path is assigned the same sequence of colours as the second half. More precisely, a *k-colouring* of a graph G is a function ψ that assigns one of k colours to each vertex of G. A path $(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{2t})$ of even order in G is *repetitively* coloured by ψ if $\psi(v_i) = \psi(v_{t+i})$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$. A colouring ψ of G is *nonrepetitive* if no path of G of even order is repetitively coloured by ψ. Observe that a nonrepetitive colouring is *proper*, in the sense that adjacent vertices are coloured differently. The *nonrepetitive chromatic number* $\pi(G)$ is the minimum integer k such that G admits a nonrepetitive k-colouring. We only consider simple graphs without loops or parallel edges.

The seminal result in this area is by Thue [41], who in 1906 proved that every path is nonrepetitively 3-colourable. Thue expressed his result in terms of strings over an alphabet of three characters—Alon et al. [3] introduced the generalisation to graphs in 2002. Nonrepetitive graph colourings have since been widely studied [2–12, 21, 25–33, 35, 37–39]. The principle result of Alon et al. [3] was that graphs with maximum degree Δ are nonrepetitively $\mathcal{O}(\Delta^2)$-colourable. Several subsequent papers improved the constant [16, 26, 30]. The best known bound is due to Dujmović et al. [16].

Theorem 1 ([16])**.** *Every graph with maximum degree Δ is nonrepetitively $(1 + o(1))\Delta^2$-colourable.*

A number of other graph classes are known to have bounded nonrepetitive chromatic number. In

3rd March 2017

[*] School of Computer Science and Electrical Engineering, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Canada (vida.dujmovic@uottawa.ca). Supported by NSERC.

[†] Department of Computer Science, University of Rome, "La Sapienza", Rome, Italy (wollan@di.uniroma1.it). Supported by the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013)/ERC Grant Agreement no. 279558.

[‡] School of Mathematical Sciences, Monash University, Melbourne, Australia (david.wood@monash.edu). Research supported by the Australian Research Council.

particular, trees are nonrepetitively 4-colourable [8, 33], outerplanar graphs are nonrepetitively 12-colourable [5, 33], and graphs with bounded treewidth have bounded nonrepetitive chromatic number [5, 33]. (See Section 2 for the definition of treewidth.) The best known bound is due to Kündgen and Pelsmajer [33].

Theorem 2 ([33])**.** *Every graph with treewidth k is nonrepetitively 4^k-colourable.*

The primary contribution of this paper is to provide qualitative generalisations of Theorems 1 and 2 in terms of graph immersions and excluded topological minors.

A graph G contains a graph H as an *immersion* if the vertices of H can be mapped to distinct vertices of G, and the edges of H can be mapped to pairwise edge-disjoint paths in G, such that each edge vw of H is mapped to a path in G whose endpoints are the images of v and w. The image in G of each vertex in H is called a *branch vertex*. Structural and colouring properties of graphs excluding a fixed immersion have been widely studied [1, 13, 14, 18–20, 22–24, 34, 36, 40, 42]. We prove that graphs excluding a fixed immersion have bounded nonrepetitive chromatic number.

Theorem 3. *For every graph H with t vertices, every graph that does not contain H as an immersion is nonrepetitively $(4 + o(1))t^8$-colourable.*

Since a graph with maximum degree Δ contains no star with $\Delta+1$ leaves as an immersion, Theorem 3 implies that graphs with bounded degree have bounded nonrepetitive chromatic number (as in Theorem 1).

We strengthen Theorem 3 as follows (although without explicit bounds). A graph G contains a graph H as a *strong immersion* if G contains H as an immersion, such that for each edge vw of H, no internal vertex of the path in G corresponding to vw is a branch vertex.

Theorem 4. *For every fixed graph H, there exists a constant k, such that every graph G that does not contain H as a strong immersion is nonrepetitively k-colourable.*

Note that planar graphs with n vertices are nonrepetitively $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$-colourable [15], and the same is true for graphs excluding a fixed graph as a minor or topological minor [17]. It is unknown whether any of these classes have bounded nonrepetitive chromatic number. Our final result shows that excluding a special type of topological minor gives bounded nonrepetitive chromatic number.

Theorem 5. *Let H be a fixed planar graph that has a planar embedding with all the vertices of H with degree at least 4 on a single face. Then there exists a constant k, such that every graph G that does not contain H as a topological minor is nonrepetitively k-colourable.*

Graphs with bounded treewidth exclude fixed walls as topological minors. Since walls are planar graphs with maximum degree 3, Theorem 5 implies that graphs of bounded treewidth

have bounded nonrepetitive chromatic number (as in Theorem 2). Similarly, for every graph H with t vertices, the 'fat star' graph (which is the 1-subdivision of the t-leaf star with edge multiplicity t) contains H as a strong immersion. Since fat stars embed in the plane with all vertices of degree at least 4 on a single face, Theorem 5 implies that graphs excluding a fixed graph as a strong immersion have bounded nonrepetitive chromatic number (as in Theorem 4). In this sense, Theorem 5 generalises all of Theorems 1 to 4.

The results of this paper, in relation to the best known bounds on the nonrepetitive chromatic number, are summarised in Figure 1.

2 Tree Decompositions

For a graph G and tree T, a *tree decomposition* or *T-decomposition* of G consists of a collection $(T_x \subseteq V(G) : x \in V(T))$ of sets of vertices of G, called *bags*, indexed by the nodes of T, such that for each vertex $v \in V(G)$ the set $\{x \in V(T) : v \in T_x\}$ induces a connected subtree of T, and for each edge vw of G there is a node $x \in V(T)$ such that $v, w \in T_x$. The *width* of a T-decomposition is the maximum, taken over the nodes $x \in V(T)$, of $|T_x| - 1$. The *treewidth* of a graph G is the minimum width of a tree decomposition of G. The *adhesion* of a tree decomposition $(T_x : x \in V(T))$ is $\max\{|T_x \cap T_y| : xy \in E(T)\}$. The *torso* of each node $x \in V(T)$ is the graph obtained from $G[T_x]$ by adding a clique on $T_x \cap T_y$ for each edge $xy \in E(T)$ incident to x. Dujmović et al. [17] generalised Theorem 2 as follows:

Lemma 6 ([17])**.** *If a graph G has a tree decomposition with adhesion k such that every torso is nonrepetitively c-colourable, then G is nonrepetitively $c\,4^k$-colourable.*

For integers $c, d \geqslant 0$ a graph G has *(c, d)-bounded degree* if G contains at most c vertices with degree greater than d.

Lemma 7. *Every graph with (c, d)-bounded degree is nonrepetitively $c + (1 + o(1))d^2$-colourable.*

Proof. Assign a distinct colour to each vertex of degree at least d, and colour the remaining graph by Theorem 1. For each vertex v of degree at least d, no other vertex is assigned the same colour as v. Thus v is in no repetitively coloured path. The result then follows from Theorem 1. □

Dvořák [18] proved the following structure theorem for graphs excluding a strong immersion.

Theorem 8 ([18])**.** *For every fixed graph H, there exists a constant k, such that every graph G that does not contain H as a strong immersion has a tree decomposition such that each torso is (k, k)-bounded degree.*

Lemmas 6 and 7 and Theorem 8 imply Theorem 4.



Figure 1: Upper bounds on the nonrepetitive chromatic number of various graph classes. 'Special' refers to the condition in Theorem 5.

3 Weak Immersions

The above proof of Theorem 4 gives no explicit bound on the constant k. In this section we prove explicit bounds on the nonrepetitive chromatic number of graphs excluding a weak immersion. The starting point is the following structure theorem of Wollan [42]. For a tree T and graph G, a *T-partition* of G is a partition $(T_x \subseteq V(G) : x \in V(T))$ of $V(G)$ indexed by the nodes of T. Each set T_x is called a *bag*. Note that a bag may be empty. For each edge xy of a tree T, let $T(xy)$

and $T(yx)$ be the components of $T - xy$ where x is in $T(xy)$ and y is in $T(yx)$. For each edge $xy \in E(T)$, let $G(T, xy) := \bigcup\{T_z : z \in V(T(xy))\}$ and $G(T, yx) := \bigcup\{T_z : z \in V(T(yx))\}$. Let $E(T, xy)$ be the set of edges in G between $G(T, xy)$ and $G(T, yx)$. The *adhesion* of a T-partition $(T_x : x \in V(T))$ is the maximum, taken over all edges xy of T, of $|E(T, xy)|$. For each node x of T, the *torso* of x (with respect to a T-partition) is the graph obtained from G by identifying $G(T, yx)$ into a single vertex for each edge xy incident to x (deleting resulting parallel edges and loops).

Theorem 9 ([42]). *For every graph H with t vertices, for every graph G that does not contain H as a weak immersion, there is a T-partition of G with adhesion at most t^2 such that each torso has (t, t^2)-bounded degree.*

Theorem 9 leads to the following new structure theorem of independent interest.

Theorem 10. *For every graph H with t vertices, every graph G that does not contain H as a weak immersion has a tree decomposition with adhesion at most t^2 such that every torso has $(t, t^4 + 2t^2)$-bounded degree.*

Proof. Consider the T-partition $(T_x : x \in V(T))$ of G from Theorem 9. Let T' be obtained from T by orienting each edge towards some root vertex. We now define a tree decomposition $(T^*_x : x \in V(T))$ of G. Initialise $T^*_x := T_x$ for each node $x \in V(T)$. For each edge vw of G, if $v \in T_x$ and $w \in T_y$ and z is the least common ancestor of x and y in T', then add v to T^*_α for each node α on the $\overrightarrow{xz}$ path in T', and add w to T^*_α for each node α on the $\overrightarrow{yz}$ path in T'. Thus each vertex $v \in T_x$ is in a sequence of bags that correspond to a directed path from x to some ancestor of x in T'. By construction, the endpoints of each edge are in a common bag. Thus $(T^*_x : x \in V(T))$ is a tree decomposition of G.

Consider a vertex $v \in T^*_x \cap T^*_y$ for some edge $\overrightarrow{xy}$ of T'. Then v has a neighbour w in $G(T, yx)$, and $vw \in E(T, xy)$. Thus $|T^*_x \cap T^*_y| \leqslant |E(T, xy)| \leqslant t^2$. That is, the tree decomposition $(T^*_x : x \in V(T))$ has adhesion at most t^2.

Let G^+_x be the torso of each node $x \in V(T)$ with respect to the tree decomposition $(T^*_x : x \in V(T))$. That is, G^+ is obtained from $G[T^*_x]$ by adding a clique on $T^*_x \cap T^*_y$ for each edge xy of T. Our goal is to prove that G^+_x has $(t, t^4 + 2t^2)$-bounded degree.

Consider a vertex v of G^+_x. Then v is in at most one child bag y of x, as otherwise v would belong to a set of bags that do not correspond to a directed path in T'. Since $(T^*_x : x \in V(T))$ has adhesion at most t^2, v has at most t^2 neighbours in $T^*_x \cap T^*_p$, where p is the parent of x and v has at most t^2 neighbours in $T^*_x \cap T^*_y$. Thus the degree of v in G^+_x is at most the degree of v in $G[T^*_x]$ plus $2t^2$. Call this property ($\star$).

First consider the case that $v \notin T_x$. Let z be the node of T for which $v \in T_z$. Since $v \in T^*_x$, by construction, x is an ancestor of z. Let y be the node immediately before x on the $\overrightarrow{zx}$ path in T'. We now bound the number of neighbours of v in T^*_x. Say $w \in N_G(v) \cap T^*_x$. If w is in $G(T, xy)$ then

let e_w be the edge vw. Otherwise, w is in $G(T, yx)$ and thus w has a neighbour u in $G(T, xy)$ since $w \in T_x^*$; let e_w be the edge wu. Observe that $\{e_w : w \in N_G(v) \cap T_x^*\} \subseteq E(T, xy)$, and thus $|\{e_w : w \in N_G(v) \cap T_x^*\}| \leqslant t^2$. Since $e_u \neq e_w$ for distinct $u, w \in N_G(v) \cap T_x^*$, we have $|N_G(v) \cap T_x^*| \leqslant t^2$. By ($\star$), the degree of v in G_x^+ is at most $3t^2$.

Now consider the case that $v \in T_x$. Suppose further that v is not one of the at most t vertices of degree greater than t^2 in the torso Q of x with respect to the given T-partition. Suppose that in Q, v has d_1 neighbours in T_x and d_2 neighbours not in T_x (the identified vertices). So $d_1 + d_2 \leqslant t^2$. Consider a neighbour w of v in $G[T_x^*]$ with $w \notin T_x$. Then $w \in G(T, yx)$ for some child y of x. For at most d_2 children y of x, there is a neighbour of v in $G(T, yx)$. Furthermore, for each child y of x, v has at most t^2 neighbours in $G(T, yx)$ since the T-partition has adhesion at most t^2. Thus v has degree at most $d_1 + d_2 t^2 \leqslant t^4$ in $G[T_x^*]$. By ($\star$), v has degree at most $2t^2 + t^4$ in G_x^+.

Since $3t^2 \leqslant t^4 + 2t^2$, the torso G_x^+ has $(t, t^4 + 2t^2)$-bounded degree. □

Lemma 6 and Theorem 10 imply that for every graph H with t vertices, every graph that does not contain H as an immersion is nonrepetitively $4^{t^4+O(t^2)}$-colourable, which is Theorem 3 with a weaker bound. A key ingredient in the polynomial bound in Theorem 3, is the following definitions and lemma due to Kündgen and Pelsmajer [33], which were introduced as steps towards proving Theorem 2. A *layering* of a graph G is a partition $(V_1, \dots, V_n)$ of $V(G)$ such that for each edge vw of G, if $v \in V_i$ and $w \in V_j$ then $|i - j| \leqslant 1$. A layering $(V_1, \dots, V_n)$ of a graph G is *shadow-complete* if for $2 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, for each component H of $G[V_i \cup \cdots \cup V_n]$ the set of neighbours in V_{i-1} of vertices in H is a clique.

Lemma 11 ([33]). *If a graph G has a shadow-complete layering such that the graph induced by each layer is nonrepetitively c-colourable, then G is nonrepetitively $4c$-colourable.*

Proof of Theorem 3. By Theorem 9, for some tree T, there is a T-partition of G with adhesion at most t^2 such that each torso has (t, t^2)-bounded degree. Root T at some node r. For $i \geqslant 0$, let V_i be the set of vertices of G in a bag of T whose corresponding node in T is at distance i from r. Then $(V_0, \dots, V_n)$ is a layering of G, for some integer n.

For each edge xy of T, with y the child of x, let $C(xy)$ be the set of vertices in T_x adjacent to some vertex in T_y. Then $|C(xy)| \leqslant t^2$ by the adhesion property. Add a clique on $C(xy)$ for each edge xy to obtain a graph G'. Then $(V_0, \dots, V_n)$ defines a shadow complete layering of G'.

Let H be the subgraph of G induced by some bag T_x. Let H' be the subgraph of G' induced by T_x. Let Q be the torso of T_x in the original graph G. Consider a vertex v in T_x. Say v is in k of the cliques $C(xy)$ where y is a child of x. Then $\deg_Q(v) \geqslant k$ and $\deg_{H'}(v) \leqslant \deg_H(v) + kt^2$. At most t vertices v in H have $\deg_Q(v) > t^2$. Say v is not one of these vertices. Then $k \leqslant \deg_Q(v) \leqslant t^2$ and $\deg_{H'}(v) \leqslant \deg_H(v) + kt^2 \leqslant \deg_Q(v) + t^4 \leqslant t^2 + t^4$. Thus H' is a $(t, t^4 + t^2)$-bounded degree graph.

Hence each component of the subgraph of G' induced by each layer has $(t, t^2 + t^4)$-bounded degree. Thus each layer of G' is nonrepetitively $(1 + o(1))t^8$ colourable by Lemma 7. By Lemma 11, G' and hence G is nonrepetitively $(4 + o(1))t^8$ colourable. □

4 Excluding a Topological Minor

Theorem 5 is an immediate corollary of Lemma 6 and the following structure theorem of Dvořák [18] that extends Theorem 8.

Theorem 12 ([18]). *Let H be a fixed planar graph that has a planar embedding with all the vertices of H with degree at least 4 on a single face. Then there exists a constant k, such that every graph G that does not contain H as a topological minor has a tree decomposition such that each torso has (k, k)-bounded degree.*

While Theorem 12 is not explcitly stated in [18], we now explain that it is in fact a special case of Theorem 3 in [18]. This result provides a structural description of graphs excluding a given topological minor in terms of the following definition. For a graph H and surface Σ, let $\mathrm{mf}(H, \Sigma)$ be the minimum, over all possible embeddings of H in Σ, of the minimum number of faces such that every vertex of degree at least 4 is incident with one of these faces. By assumption, for our graph H and for every surface Σ, we have $\mathrm{mf}(H, \Sigma) = 1$. In this case, Theorem 3 of Dvořák [18] says that for some integer $k = k(H)$, every graph G that does not contain H as a topological minor is a clique sum of (k, k)-bounded degree graphs. It immediately follows that G has the desired tree decomposition. See Corollary 1.4 in [34] for a closely related structure theorem.

The following natural open problem arises from this research: Do graphs excluding a fixed planar graph as a topological minor have bounded nonrepetitive chromatic number? And what is the structure of such graphs?

Acknowledgement

This research was initiated at the *Banff Workshop on New Trends in Graph Coloring* held at the Banff International Research Station in October 2016. Thanks to the organisers. And thanks to Chun-Hung Liu and Zdeněk Dvořák for stimulating conversations.

References

[1] Faisal N. Abu-Khzam and Michael A. Langston. Graph coloring and the immersion order. In *Computing and combinatorics*, vol. 2697 of *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, pp. 394–403. Springer, 2003. doi: 10.1007/3-540-45071-8_40.

[2] Noga Alon and Jaros law Grytczuk. Breaking the rhythm on graphs. *Discrete Math.*, 308:1375–1380, 2008. doi: 10.1016/j.disc.2007.07.063. MR: 2392054.

[3] Noga Alon, Jaros law Grytczuk, Mariusz Ha luszczak, and Oliver Riordan. Nonrepetitive colorings of graphs. *Random Structures Algorithms*, 21(3-4):336–346, 2002. doi: 10.1002/rsa.10057. MR: 1945373.

[4] János Barát and Július Czap. Facial nonrepetitive vertex coloring of plane graphs. *J. Graph Theory*, 2012. doi: 10.1002/jgt.21695.

[5] János Barát and Péter P. Varjú. On square-free vertex colorings of graphs. *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.*, 44(3):411–422, 2007. doi: 10.1556/SScMath.2007.1029. MR: 2361685.

[6] János Barát and Péter P. Varjú. On square-free edge colorings of graphs. *Ars Combin.*, 87:377–383, 2008. MR: 2414029.

[7] János Barát and David R. Wood. Notes on nonrepetitive graph colouring. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 15:R99, 2008. http://www.combinatorics.org/Volume_15/Abstracts/v15i1r99.html. MR: 2426162.

[8] Boštjan Brešar, Jaros law Grytczuk, Sandi Klavžar, Stanis law Niwczyk, and Iztok Peterin. Nonrepetitive colorings of trees. *Discrete Math.*, 307(2):163–172, 2007. doi: 10.1016/j.disc.2006.06.017. MR: 2285186.

[9] Boštjan Brešar and Sandi Klavžar. Square-free colorings of graphs. *Ars Combin.*, 70:3–13, 2004. MR: 2023057.

[10] Panagiotis Cheilaris, Ernst Specker, and Stathis Zachos. Neochromatica. *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.*, 51(3):469–480, 2010. http://www.dml.cz/dmlcz/140723. MR: 2741880.

[11] James D. Currie. There are ternary circular square-free words of length n for $n \geqslant 18$. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 9(1), 2002. http://www.combinatorics.org/Volume_9/Abstracts/v9i1n10.html. MR: 1936865.

[12] James D. Currie. Pattern avoidance: themes and variations. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, 339(1):7–18, 2005. doi: 10.1016/j.tcs.2005.01.004. MR: 2142070.

[13] Matt Devos, Zdeněk Dvořák, Jacob Fox, Jessica McDonald, Bojan Mohar, and Diego Scheide. A minimum degree condition forcing complete graph immersion. *Combinatorica*, 34(3):279–298, 2014. doi: 10.1007/s00493-014-2806-z.

[14] Matt DeVos, Jessica McDonald, Bojan Mohar, and Diego Scheide. A note on forbidding clique immersions. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 20(3):#P55, 2013. http://www.combinatorics.org/ojs/index.php/eljc/article/view/v30i3p55.

[15] Vida Dujmović, Fabrizio Frati, Gwenaël Joret, and David R. Wood. Nonrepetitive colourings of planar graphs with $O(\log n)$ colours. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 20(1):#P51, 2013. http://www.combinatorics.org/ojs/index.php/eljc/article/view/v20i1p51.

[16] Vida Dujmović, Gwenaël Joret, Jakub Kozik, and David R. Wood. Nonrepetitive colouring via entropy compression. *Combinatorica*, 36(6):661–686, 2016. doi: 10.1007/s00493-015-3070-6.

[17] Vida Dujmović, Pat Morin, and David R. Wood. Layered separators in minor-closed graph classes with applications. 2013. arXiv: 1306.1595.

[18] Zdeněk Dvořák. A stronger structure theorem for excluded topological minors. 2012. arXiv: 1209.0129.

[19] Zdeněk Dvořák and Tereza Klimošová. Strong immersions and maximum degree. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 28(1):177–187, 2014. doi: 10.1137/130915467.

[20] Zdeněk Dvořák and Paul Wollan. A structure theorem for strong immersions. *J. Graph Theory*, 83(2):152–163, 2016. doi: 10.1002/jgt.21990.

[21] Francesca Fiorenzi, Pascal Ochem, Patrice Ossona de Mendez, and Xuding Zhu. Thue choosability of trees. *Discrete Applied Math.*, 159(17):2045–2049, 2011. doi: 10.1016/j.dam.2011.07.017. MR: 2832329.

[22] Jacob Fox and Fan Wei. On the number of cliques in graphs with a forbidden subdivision or immersion. 2016. arXiv: 1606.06810.

[23] Archontia C. Giannopoulou, Marcin Kamiński, and Dimitrios M. Thilikos. Excluding graphs as immersions in surface embedded graphs. In *Graph-theoretic concepts in computer science*, vol. 8165 of *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, pp. 274–285. Springer, 2013. doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-45043-3_24.

[24] Archontia C. Giannopoulou, Marcin Kamiński, and Dimitrios M. Thilikos. Forbidding Kuratowski graphs as immersions. *J. Graph Theory*, 78(1):43–60, 2015. doi: 10.1002/jgt.21790.

[25] Jaros law Grytczuk. Thue-like sequences and rainbow arithmetic progressions. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 9(1):R44, 2002. http://www.combinatorics.org/Volume_9/Abstracts/v9i1r44.html. MR: 1946146.

[26] Jaros law Grytczuk. Nonrepetitive colorings of graphs—a survey. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 74639, 2007. doi: 10.1155/2007/74639. MR: 2272338.

[27] Jaros law Grytczuk. Thue type problems for graphs, points, and numbers. *Discrete Math.*, 308(19):4419–4429, 2008. doi: 10.1016/j.disc.2007.08.039. MR: 2433769.

[28] Jaros law Grytczuk, Jakub Kozik, and Piotr Micek. A new approach to nonrepetitive sequences. *Random Structures Algorithms*, 42(2):214–225, 2013. doi: 10.1002/rsa.20411.

[29] Jaros law Grytczuk, Jakub Przyby lo, and Xuding Zhu. Nonrepetitive list colourings of paths. *Random Structures Algorithms*, 38(1-2):162–173, 2011. doi: 10.1002/rsa.20347. MR: 2768888.

[30] Jochen Haranta and Stanislav Jendroľ. Nonrepetitive vertex colorings of graphs. *Discrete Math.*, 312(2):374–380, 2012. doi: 10.1016/j.disc.2011.09.027. MR: 2852595.

[31] Frédéric Havet, Stanislav Jendroľ, Roman Soták, and Erika Škrabuľáková. Facial non-repetitive edge-coloring of plane graphs. *J. Graph Theory*, 66(1):38–48, 2011. doi: 10.1002/jgt.20488. MR: 2742187.

[32] Stanislav Jendrol and Erika Škrabuľáková. Facial non-repetitive edge colouring of semiregular polyhedra. *Acta Univ. M. Belii Ser. Math.*, 15:37–52, 2009. http://actamath.savbb.sk/acta1503.shtml. MR: 2589669.

[33] Andre Kündgen and Michael J. Pelsmajer. Nonrepetitive colorings of graphs of bounded tree-width. *Discrete Math.*, 308(19):4473–4478, 2008. doi: 10.1016/j.disc.2007.08.043. MR: 2433774.

[34] Chun-Hung Liu and Robin Thomas. Excluding subdivisions of bounded degree graphs. 2014. arXiv: 1407.4428.

[35] Dániel Marx and Marcus Schaefer. The complexity of nonrepetitive coloring. *Discrete Appl. Math.*, 157(1):13–18, 2009. doi: 10.1016/j.dam.2008.04.015. MR: 2479374.

[36] Dániel Marx and Paul Wollan. Immersions in highly edge connected graphs. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 28(1):503–520, 2014. doi: 10.1137/130924056.

[37] Jaroslav Nešetřil, Patrice Ossona de Mendez, and David R. Wood. Characterisations and examples of graph classes with bounded expansion. *European J. Combinatorics*, 33(3):350–373, 2011. doi: 10.1016/j.ejc.2011.09.008. MR: 2864421.

[38] Wesley Pegden. Highly nonrepetitive sequences: winning strategies from the local lemma. *Random Structures Algorithms*, 38(1-2):140–161, 2011. doi: 10.1002/rsa.20354. MR: 2768887

[39] Andrzej Pezarski and Micha l Zmarz. Non-repetitive 3-coloring of subdivided graphs. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 16(1):N15, 2009. http://www.combinatorics.org/Volume_16/Abstracts/v16i1n15.html. MR: 2515755.

[40] Neil Robertson and Paul Seymour. Graph minors XXIII. Nash-Williams' immersion conjecture. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 100(2):181–205, 2010. doi: 10.1016/j.jctb.2009.07.003.

[41] Axel Thue. Über unendliche Zeichenreihen. *Norske Vid. Selsk. Skr. I. Mat. Nat. Kl. Christiania*, 7:1–22, 1906.

[42] Paul Wollan. The structure of graphs not admitting a fixed immersion. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B.*, 110:47–66, 2015. doi: 10.1016/j.jctb.2014.07.003.